01-92000-24

Original Effective Date: 01/15/13

Reviewed: 06/25/26

Revised: 07/15/16

Subject: Aqueous Shunts and Stents for Glaucoma

THIS MEDICAL COVERAGE GUIDELINE IS NOT AN AUTHORIZATION, CERTIFICATION, EXPLANATION OF BENEFITS, OR A GUARANTEE OF PAYMENT, NOR DOES IT SUBSTITUTE FOR OR CONSTITUTE MEDICAL ADVICE. ALL MEDICAL DECISIONS ARE SOLELY THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PATIENT AND PHYSICIAN. BENEFITS ARE DETERMINED BY THE GROUP CONTRACT, MEMBER BENEFIT BOOKLET, AND/OR INDIVIDUAL SUBSCRIBER CERTIFICATE IN EFFECT AT THE TIME SERVICES WERE RENDERED. THIS MEDICAL COVERAGE GUIDELINE APPLIES TO ALL LINES OF BUSINESS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED IN THE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION.

Position Statement	Billing/Coding	Reimbursement	Program Exceptions	Definitions	Related Guidelines
Other	References	Updates			

DESCRIPTION:

Glaucoma is characterized by elevated intraocular pressure (IOP), which results in visual field loss and irreversible blindness if left untreated. In the primary (conventional) outflow pathway from the eye, aqueous humor passes through the trabecular meshwork, enters a space lined with endothelial cells (Schlemm canal), drains into collector channels, and then into the aqueous veins. Increases in resistance in the trabecular meshwork and/or the inner wall of the Schlemm canal can disrupt the balance of aqueous humor inflow and outflow, resulting in an increase in IOP and glaucoma risk.

First-line treatment typically involves pharmacologic therapy. Topical medications either increase aqueous outflow (prostaglandins, alpha-adrenergic agonists, cholinergic agonists, Rho kinase inhibitors) or decrease aqueous production (alpha-adrenergic agonists, beta blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors). Pharmacologic therapy may involve multiple medications, have potential side effects, and may be inconvenient for older adults or incapacitated adults.

Surgical intervention may be indicated in individuals with glaucoma when the target IOP cannot be reached pharmacologically. Trabeculectomy (guarded filtration surgery) is the most established surgical procedure for glaucoma, which involves dissecting the conjunctiva, creating a scleral flap and scleral ostomy then suturing down the flap and closing the conjunctiva, allowing aqueous humor to directly enter the subconjunctival space. This procedure creates a subconjunctival reservoir, which can effectively reduce IOP, but commonly results in filtering “blebs” on the eye, and is associated with numerous complications (eg, hemorrhage, scarring, hypotony, infection, leaks, bleb-related endophthalmitis) and long-term failure. Other surgical procedures include trabecular laser ablation, and deep sclerectomy (which removes the outer wall of the Schlemm canal and excises deep sclera and peripheral cornea).

Minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries (MIGS) are alternative, less invasive techniques that are being developed and evaluated. Similar to trabeculectomy, the objective of MIGS is to lower IOP by improving outflow of eye fluid; however, MIGS involves less surgical manipulation of the sclera and the conjunctiva compared to a trabeculectomy. MIGS can either be performed outside the eye (ab externo) or inside the eye (ab interno).

Summary and Analysis of Evidence: Evidence for the use of ab externo aqueous shunts for the treatment of OAG uncontrolled by medications includes RCTs comparing shunts with trabeculectomy [Wagschal et al (2015); Gonzalez-Rodriguez et al (2016); Konopinska et al (2021)]. Outcomes of interest

are IOP and anti-glaucoma medication use. Follow-up among the trials ranged from 1 to 5 years. Results from ab externo aqueous shunts are similar to trabeculectomy, while adverse event rates were higher among patients undergoing trabeculectomy. The comparative effectiveness of 2 ab externo devices (the Ahmed and Baerveldt shunts) has been evaluated in 2 trials, the AVB trial [Christakis et al (2016)] and the ABC trial [Budenz, Barton et al (2015); Budenz, Feuer et al (2016)]. These trials reported similar results, with both devices lowering IOP significantly. Compared with patients receiving the Ahmed shunt, patients receiving the Baerveldt shunt experienced lower IOP and needed fewer medications. However, patients receiving the Baerveldt shunt experienced higher rates of hypotony-related complications.

Clearance for the XEN gel stent as a stand-alone procedure was based on a review in which the FDA concluded that while there were technical differences between the stent and predicate devices (shunts), the differences did not affect safety and effectiveness in lowering IOP and medication use. Evidence for the use of the XEN implant includes systematic reviews [Lim et al (2022); Yang et al (2022)], an RCT [Sheybani et al (2023)], and non-randomized comparative studies [Wagner et al, (2020); Schlenker et al (2017)], which retrospectively reviewed charts of patients either receiving the XEN implant or undergoing a trabeculectomy or implantation of an EX-PRESS shunt. The RCT found XEN45 to be noninferior to trabeculectomy. The nonrandomized comparative studies included patients with different types of glaucoma and found that patients receiving the XEN implant experienced reductions in IOP and medication use similar to patients undergoing trabeculectomy. A retrospective study compared the XEN implant with the EX-PRESS implant and found fewer adverse events in the first 3 months, but lower efficacy and higher failure rates at 1 year [Stoner et al, 2021). Although there was little information on how patients were chosen to receive the different treatments in these comparative trials, statistical methods were used to address baseline differences between the groups. The single-arm studies, with up to 3 years of follow-up, consistently show that patients receiving the XEN implant experience reductions in IOP and medication use. Randomized controlled trials with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up are needed to compare the outcomes of the different surgical treatments.

Implantation of 1 or 2 microstents has received FDA approval for use in conjunction with cataract surgery for reduction of IOP in adults with mild-to-moderate OAG currently treated with ocular hypotensive medication. Randomized controlled trials [Pfeiffer et al (2015); Samuelson et al (2019)]and meta-analyses of RCTs have compared cataract surgery alone to microstent implantation in conjunction with cataract surgery when IOP is at least partially controlled with medication [Le et al (2019)]. When compared to cataract surgery alone, the studies showed modest but statistically significant decreases in IOP and medication use through the first 2 years when stents were implanted in conjunction with cataract surgery. A decrease in topical medication application is considered to be an important outcome for patients and reduces the problem of non-compliance that can affect visual outcomes. The evidence on microstents as a stand-alone procedure in patients with mild-to-moderate glaucoma that is controlled on medical therapy includes a nonrandomized study [Sarkisian et al (2023)] and RCTs [Ahmed et al (2019); Vold et al (2016)]. Two RCTs indicate that implantation of a microstent can reduce IOP at a level similar to ocular medications at 12-month follow-up.

POSITION STATEMENT:

Insertion of the AquaFlow™ Collagen Glaucoma Drainage Device **meets the definition of medical necessity** when used for the maintenance of the subscleral space following non-penetrating deep sclerectomy.

Insertion of **ab externo** aqueous shunts approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (Ahmed™, Baerveldt®, Krupin, Molteno®, Ex-PRESS™) **meets the definition of medical necessity** as a method to reduce intraocular pressure in individuals with glaucoma where medications have failed to adequately control intraocular pressure.

Use of an ab externo aqueous shunt for all other conditions, including in individuals with glaucoma when intraocular pressure is adequately controlled by medications, is considered **experimental or investigational**. Data in published medical literature are inadequate to permit scientific conclusions on long-term and net health outcomes.

Insertion of **ab interno** aqueous stents approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (iStent®, iStent inject®, XEN ® Gel, XEN ® injector, Hydrus™) as a method to reduce intraocular pressure in individuals with glaucoma where medical therapy has failed to adequately control intraocular pressure, **meets the definition of medical necessity**.

Implantation of 1 or 2 ab interno aqueous stents approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (iStent®, iStent inject®, XEN ® Gel, XEN ® injector, Hydrus™), in conjunction with cataract surgery also **meets the definition of medical necessity** in individuals with mild-to-moderate open-angle glaucoma treated with ocular hypotensive medication.

Use of ab interno stents for all other conditions is considered **experimental or investigational**. There is insufficient published clinical evidence to support safety and effectiveness for all other conditions.

Use of an ab interno suprachoroidal shunt or stent (iStent supra®, CyPass®) is considered **experimental or investigational**, as there is a lack of clinical scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed literature to permit conclusions on safety and net health outcomes.

BILLING/CODING INFORMATION:

CPT Coding:

66179	Aqueous shunt to extraocular equatorial plate reservoir, external approach; without graft
66180	Aqueous shunt to extraocular equatorial plate reservoir, external approach, with graft
66183	Insertion of anterior segment aqueous drainage device, without extraocular reservoir, external approach
66184	Revision of aqueous shunt to extraocular equatorial plate reservoir; without graft
66185	Revision of aqueous shunt to extraocular equatorial plate reservoir, with graft
0253T	Insertion of anterior segment aqueous drainage device, without extraocular reservoir; internal approach, into the suprachoroidal space **(investigational)**
0449T	Insertion of aqueous drainage device, without extraocular reservoir, internal approach, into the subconjunctival space; initial device
0450T	Insertion of aqueous drainage device, without extraocular reservoir, internal approach, into the subconjunctival space; each additional device (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
0474T	Insertion of anterior segment aqueous drainage device, with creation of intraocular reservoir, internal approach, into the supraciliary space **(investigational)**
0671T	Insertion of anterior segment aqueous drainage device into the trabecular meshwork, without external reservoir, and without concomitant cataract removal, one or more

ICD-10 Diagnosis Codes That Support Medical Necessity:

H40.10X0 – H40.10X4	Unspecified open-angle glaucoma
H40.1110 – H40.1194	Primary open-angle glaucoma, staged
H40.1210 – H40.1294	Low-tension glaucoma
H40.1310 – H40.1394	Pigmentary glaucoma
H40.1410 – H40.1494	Capsular glaucoma with pseudoexfoliation of lens
H40.151 – H40.159	Residual stage of open-angle glaucoma
H40.20X0 – H40.20X4	Unspecified primary angle-closure glaucoma
H40.211 – H40.219	Acute angle-closure glaucoma
H40.2210 – H40.2294	Chronic angle-closure glaucoma
H40.231 – H40.239	Intermittent angle-closure glaucoma
H40.241 – H40.249	Residual stage of angle-closure glaucoma

H40.30X0 – H40.33X4	Glaucoma secondary to eye trauma
H40.40X0 – H40.43X4	Glaucoma secondary to eye inflammation
H40.50X0 – H40.53X4	Glaucoma secondary to other eye disorders
H40.60X0 – H40.63X4	Glaucoma secondary to drugs
H40.811 – H40.819	Glaucoma with increased episcleral venous pressure
H40.821 – H40.829	Hypersecretion glaucoma
H40.831 – H40.839	Aqueous misdirection
H40.89	Other specified glaucoma
H40.9	Unspecified glaucoma
H42	Glaucoma in diseases classified elsewhere
Q15.0	Congenital glaucoma

REIMBURSEMENT INFORMATION:

Refer to section entitled **POSITION STATEMENT**.

PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS:

Federal Employee Program (FEP): Follow FEP guidelines.

State Account Organization (SAO): Follow SAO guidelines.

Medicare Advantage: The following Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs) were reviewed on the last guideline reviewed date: Micro-Invasive Glaucoma Surgery (MIGS) (L38233), located at cms.gov.

If this Medical Coverage Guideline contains a step therapy requirement, in compliance with Florida law 627.42393, members or providers may request a step therapy protocol exemption to this requirement if based on medical necessity. The process for requesting a protocol exemption can be found at Coverage Protocol Exemption Request.

DEFINITIONS:

Ab externo: outside the eye (non-penetrating).

Ab interno: inside the eye (penetrating).

RELATED GUIDELINES:

01-92000-17, Scanning Computerized Ophthalmic Diagnostic Imaging

OTHER:

Index terms:

Note: The use of specific product names is illustrative only. It is not intended to be a recommendation of one product over another and is not intended to represent a complete listing of all products available.

AquaFlow™ collagen drainage device (FDA-approved for maintenance of the subscleral space following non-penetrating deep sclerectomy)

Ahmed™ aqueous shunt (ab externo)

Baerveldt® aqueous shunt (ab externo)

Krupin aqueous shunt (ab externo)

Molteno® aqueous shunt (ab externo)

Ex-PRESS™ mini-shunt (ab externo)

iStent® microstent (ab interno)

iStent inject® microstent (ab interno)

iStent supra® (suprachoroidal stent)

XEN® Gel aqueous stent (ab interno)

XEN® injector aqueous stent (ab interno)

Hydrus™ microstent (ab interno)

SOLX® Gold microshunt (ab externo)

REFERENCES:

1. Ahmed IIK, Fea A, Au L, Ang RE, Harasymowycz P, Jampel HD, Samuelson TW, Chang DF, Rhee DJ; COMPARE Investigators. A Prospective Randomized Trial Comparing Hydrus and iStent Microinvasive Glaucoma Surgery Implants for Standalone Treatment of Open-Angle Glaucoma: The COMPARE Study. Ophthalmology. 2020 Jan;127(1):52-61. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2019.04.034. Epub 2019 Apr 26.
2. Ahmed IIK, Vera V, Stalmans I, et al. Effectiveness and safety of the XEN45 gel stent compared to trabeculectomy in primary open-angle glaucoma: the Gold-Standard Pathway Study. BMJ Open Ophthalmol. 2025 Feb 6;10(1):e001696.
3. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Guideline Summary NGC-8198: Primary open-angle glaucoma. American Academy of Ophthalmology, 2010.
4. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Guideline Summary NGC-8555: Care of the patient with open angle glaucoma. American Optometric Association, 2010.
5. Agrawal P, Bhardwaj P. Glaucoma drainage implants. Int J Ophthalmol. 2020 Aug 18;13(8):1318-1328. doi: 10.18240/ijo.2020.08.20.
6. Alobaida IA, Malik R, Ahmad S. Comparison of surgical outcomes between sulcus and anterior chamber implanted glaucoma drainage devices. Saudi J Ophthalmol. 2020 Nov 22;34(1):1-7. doi: 10.4103/1319-4534.301298.
7. Al-Mugheiry TS, Cate H, Clark A, Broadway DC. Microinvasive Glaucoma Stent (MIGS) Surgery With Concomitant Phakoemulsification Cataract Extraction: Outcomes and the Learning Curve. J Glaucoma. 2017 Jul;26(7):646-651. doi: 10.1097/IJG.0000000000000691.
8. American Academy of Ophthalmology. Preferred Practice Pattern: Primary Angle Closure Glaucoma – 2015. Accessed at https://www.aao.org/.
9. American Academy of Ophthalmology. Preferred practice pattern: Primary open-angle glaucoma 2010. Accessed at: http://one.aao.org/ce/default.aspx.
10. American Academy of Ophthalmology. Preferred Practice Pattern: Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma – 2015. Accessed at https://www.aao.org/.
11. American Glaucoma Society. Position statement on new glaucoma surgical procedures (2012). Accessed at http://www.americanglaucomasociety.net/.
12. Amoozadeh SA, Yang MC, Lin KY. A Case of Refractory Open-angle Glaucoma with Failed Baerveldt Glaucoma Implant and Trabeculectomy Treated with Ab Externo XEN Gel Stent Placement. J Curr Glaucoma Pract. 2022 Sep-Dec;16(3):192-194. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10078-1371.
13. Arcieri ES, et al. Efficacy and safety of intravitreal bevacizumab in eyes with neovascular glaucoma undergoing Ahmed glaucoma valve implantation: 2-year follow-up. Acta Ophthalmol. 2015 Feb;93(1):e1-6.

14. Arriola-Villalobos P, Martínez-de-la-Casa JM, Díaz-Valle D, Fernández-Pérez C, García-Sánchez J, García-Feijoó J. (2012). Combined iStent trabecular micro-bypass stent implantation and phacoemulsification for coexistent open-angle glaucoma and cataract: a long-term study. British Journal of Ophthalmology, 96(5), 645-649.

15. Baker ND, Barnebey HS, Moster MR, Stiles MC, Vold SD, Khatana AK, Flowers BE, Grover DS, Strouthidis NG, Panarelli JF; INN005 Study Group. Ab-Externo MicroShunt versus Trabeculectomy in Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma: One-Year Results from a 2-Year Randomized, Multicenter Study. Ophthalmology. 2021 Dec;128(12):1710-1721. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2021.05.023. Epub 2021 May 27.

16. Barton K, Feuer WJ, Budenz DL, Schiffman J, Costa VP, Godfrey DG, Buys YM; Ahmed Baerveldt Comparison Study Group. Three-year treatment outcomes in the Ahmed Baerveldt comparison study. Ophthalmology. 2014 Aug;121(8):1547-57.e1.

17. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Evidence Positioning System®. 9.03.21 - Aqueous Shunts and Stents for Glaucoma, 12/25.

18. Boland MV, Ervin AM, Friedman DS, et al. Comparative effectiveness of treatments for open-angle glaucoma: A systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2013;158(4):271-279.

19. Boland MV, Ervin AM, Friedman D et al. Treatment for Glaucoma: Comparative Effectiveness. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 60. AHRQ Publication No. 12-EHC038-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, April 2012.

20. Brandão LM, Grieshaber MC. Update on Minimally Invasive Glaucoma Surgery (MIGS) and New Implants. J Ophthalmol. 2013;2013:705915.

21. Budenz DL, Barton K, Gedde SJ, Feuer WJ, Schiffman J, Costa VP, Godfrey DG, Buys YM; Ahmed Baerveldt Comparison Study Group. Five-year treatment outcomes in the Ahmed Baerveldt comparison study. Ophthalmology. 2015 Feb;122(2):308-16. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.08.043. Epub 2014 Oct 17.

22. Budenz DL, Feuer WJ, Barton K, Schiffman J, Costa VP, Godfrey DG, Buys YM; Ahmed Baerveldt Comparison Study Group. Postoperative Complications in the Ahmed Baerveldt Comparison Study During Five Years of Follow-up. Am J Ophthalmol. 2016 Mar;163:75-82.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2015.11.023. Epub 2015 Nov 18.

23. Camras LJ, Yuan F, et al. A novel Schlemm's Canal scaffold increases outflow facility in a human anterior segment perfusion model. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012 Sep 12;53(10):6115-21. doi: 10.1167/iovs.12-9570.

24. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS). Local Coverage Article: Billing and Coding: Micro-Invasive Glaucoma Surgery (MIGS) (A56647) (12/30/19) (Revised 04/27/20).

25. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS). Local Coverage Article: Noncovered services revision to the Part A and Part B LCD (A56166) (10/25/18) (Retired 07/01/20).

26. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS). Local Coverage Article: Noncovered services (procedure codes 0449T and 0450T) – revision to the Part A and Part B local coverage article (A55880) (01/18/18) (Retired 07/01/20).

27. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS). Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Micro-Invasive Glaucoma Surgery (MIGS) (L38233) (12/30/19).

28. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS). Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Noncovered Services (L33777) (10/01/15) (Retired 07/01/20).

29. Cheng AMS, Gupta SK, Vedula GG, Saddemi J, Wang V, Vartanian R, Yang DTY, Kubal AA. Ab-Externo XEN Gel stent implantation effectively treated refractory glaucoma with prior failed shunt tube. BMC Ophthalmol. 2024 Aug 30;24(1):384. doi: 10.1186/s12886-024-03648-7.

30. Christakis PG, Kalenak JW, Tsai JC, Zurakowski D, Kammer JA, Harasymowycz PJ, Mura JJ, Cantor LB, Ahmed II. The Ahmed Versus Baerveldt Study: Five-Year Treatment Outcomes. Ophthalmology. 2016 Oct;123(10):2093-102. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.06.035. Epub 2016 Aug 17. PMID: 27544023.

31. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT00834223: A Prospective, Multicenter, Open-label, Safety and Preliminary Efficacy Study of the Surgical Implantation of OPKO's Glaucoma Drainage Device (AquashuntTM) in Patients With Refractory Chronic Forms of Open Angle Glaucoma (OAG), 2011.

32. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT00847158: A Clinical Trial of Phacoemulsification Versus Phacoemulsification & the iStent Implantation in POAG Patients, 2009.

33. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT01252849: Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of One, Two, or Three iStents for the Reduction of Intraocular Pressure in Open-angle Glaucoma Subjects, 2012.

34. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT01461278: Multicenter Investigation of the Glaukos® Suprachoroidal Stent Model G3 In Conjunction With Cataract Surgery (2013).

35. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT01252914. A Prospective Evaluation of Open-Angle Glaucoma Subjects on Two Topical Hypotensive Medications Treated With One Suprachoroidal Stent (2013).

36. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT03273907: Post Approval Study of the CyPass System. Alcon Research (06/29/18).

37. Craven ER, Katz LJ, Wells JM, Giamporcaro JE. (2012). Cataract surgery with trabecular micro-bypass stent implantation in patients with mild-to-moderate open-angle glaucoma and cataract: two-year follow-up. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery, 38(8), 1339-1345.

38. Craven ER. Trabecular micro-bypass shunt (iStent®): basic science, clinical, and future). Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol. 2015 Jan-Mar;22(1):30-7.

39. De Gregorio A, Pedrotti E, Russo L, Morselli S. Minimally invasive combined glaucoma and cataract surgery: clinical results of the smallest ab interno gel stent. Int Ophthalmol. 2017 May 29.

40. Dervenis N, Mikropoulou AM, Dervenis P, Lewis A. Dislocation of a previously successful XEN glaucoma implant into the anterior chamber: a case report. BMC Ophthalmol. 2017 Aug 22;17(1):148.

41. de Jong L et al. Five-year extension of a clinical trial comparing the EX-PRESS glaucoma filtration device and trabeculectomy in primary open-angle glaucoma. Clinical Ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ) 5 (2011): 527.

42. Durr GM, Schlenker MB, Samet S, Ahmed IIK. One-year outcomes of stand-alone ab externo SIBS microshunt implantation in refractory glaucoma. Br J Ophthalmol. 2022 Jan;106(1):71-79. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-317299. Epub 2020 Oct 23. PMID: 33097520.

43. ECRI Institute Emerging Technology Evidence Report. Trabecular Micro-bypass Stent (iStent) for Treating Open-angle Glaucoma (03/11/13).

44. ECRI Institute Health Technology Forecast. Micro-bypass Implant (iStent) for Treating Glaucoma. October 2012.

45. ECRI Institute Product Brief: CyPass Micro-Stent (Alcon Laboratories,Inc.) for Treating Open-angle Glaucoma during Cataract Surgery (May 2017).

46. Fea AM. (2010). Phacoemulsification versus phacoemulsification with micro-bypass stent implantation in primary open-angle glaucoma: randomized double-masked clinical trial. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery, 36(3), 407-412.

47. Fea AM, Belda JI, Rękas M, Jünemann A, Chang L, Pablo L, Voskanyan L, Katz LJ. Prospective unmasked randomized evaluation of the iStent inject (®) versus two ocular hypotensive agents in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma. Clin Ophthalmol. 2014 May 7;8:875-82.

48. Fea AM, Consolandi G, et al. A Comparison of Endothelial Cell Loss in Combined Cataract and MIGS (Hydrus) Procedure to Phacoemulsification Alone: 6-Month Results. J Ophthalmol. 2015; 2015: 769289.

49. Fea AM, Spinetta R, et al. Evaluation of Bleb Morphology and Reduction in IOP and Glaucoma Medication following Implantation of a Novel Gel Stent. J Ophthalmol. 2017;2017:9364910.

50. First Coast Service Options (FCSO) Medical Policy. Noncovered Services, LCD L29288. (last revised 07/06/15) (Retired 9/30/15).

51. Francis BA, Winarko J. Ab interno Schlemm's canal surgery: trabectome and i-stent. Dev Ophthalmol. 2012;50:125-36.

52. Galal A, Bilgic A, Eltanamly R, Osman A. XEN Glaucoma Implant with Mitomycin C 1-Year Follow-Up: Result and Complications. J Ophthalmol. 2017;2017:5457246.

53. Gigon A, Shaarawy T. The Suprachoroidal Route in Glaucoma Surgery. J Curr Glaucoma Pract 2016;10(1): 13-20.

54. Gonzalez-Rodriguez JM, Trope GE, Drori-Wagschal L, Jinapriya D, Buys YM. Comparison of trabeculectomy versus Ex-PRESS: 3-year follow-up. Br J Ophthalmol. 2016 Sep;100(9):1269-73. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2015-307161. Epub 2015 Dec 16. PMID: 26674779.

55. Grover DS, Flynn WJ, et al. Performance and Safety of a New Ab Interno Gelatin Stent in Refractory Glaucoma at 12 Months. Am J Ophthalmol. 2017 Nov;183:25-36.

56. Heidinger A, Schwab C, Lindner E, and Mossbock. A retrospective study of 199 Xen45 stent implantations from 2014-2016. J Glaucoma. 2019 Jan;28(1):75-79. doi: 10.1097/IJG.0000000000001122. PMID: 30461555.

57. Hengerer FH, Kohnen T, Mueller M, Conrad-Hengerer I. Ab Interno Gel Implant for the Treatment of Glaucoma Patients With or Without Prior Glaucoma Surgery - One Year Results. J Glaucoma. 2017 Oct 13.

58. Hoeh H, Ahmed II, Grisanti S, Grisanti S, Grabner G, Nguyen QH, Rau M, Yoo S, Ianchulev T. Early postoperative safety and surgical outcomes after implantation of a suprachoroidal micro-stent for the treatment of open-angle glaucoma concomitant with cataract surgery. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2013 Mar;39(3):431-7.

59. Hoh H, et al. Two-year clinical experience with the CyPass micro-stent: safety and surgical outcomes of a novel supraciliary micro-stent. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd. 2014;231(4):377-381.

60. Hohberger B, Welge-Lüen UC, Lämmer R. ICE-Syndrome: A Case Report of Implantation of a Microbypass Xen Gel Stent After DMEK Transplantation. J Glaucoma. 2017 Feb;26(2):e103-e104.

61. Hooshmand J, Rothschild P, Allen P, Kerr NM, Vote BJ, Toh T. Minimally invasive glaucoma surgery: Comparison of iStent with iStent inject in primary open angle glaucoma. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2019;47(7):898–903. doi:10.1111/ceo.13526. PMID: 31034687.

62. Ibáñez-Muñoz A, Soto-Biforcos VS, Chacón-González M, Rúa-Galisteo O, Arrieta-Los Santos A, Lizuain-Abadía ME, Del Río Mayor JL.One-year follow-up of the XEN® implant with mitomycin-C in pseudoexfoliative glaucoma patients. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2018 Aug 27:1120672118795063. PMID: 30145910.

63. Islamaj E, Wubbels RJ, de Waard PWT. Primary baerveldt versus trabeculectomy study after 5 years of follow-up. Acta Ophthalmol. 2020 Jun;98(4):400-407. doi: 10.1111/aos.14265. Epub 2019 Nov 15.

64. Jacobs DS. Open-angle glaucoma: Treatment. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA. (Accessed on May 18, 2026.)

65. Kammer JA, Mundy KM. Suprachoroidal devices in glaucoma surgery. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol. 2015 Jan-Mar;22(1):45-52.

66. Karimi A, Hopes M, Martin KR, Lindfield D. Efficacy and Safety of the Ab-interno Xen Gel Stent Following Failed Trabeculectomy. J Glaucoma. 2018 Aug 7. doi: 10.1097/IJG.0000000000001044. PMID: 30095602.

67. Kim EL, et al. Vision Loss and Recovery after Baerveldt Aqueous Tube Shunt Implantation. J Ophthalmol. 2017;2017:4140305.

68. Knape RM, Szymarek TN, Tuli SS, Driebe WT, Sherwood MB, Smith MF. Five-year outcomes of eyes with glaucoma drainage device and penetrating keratoplasty. J Glaucoma. 2012 Dec;21(9):608-14.

69. Konopińska J, Byszewska A, Saeed E, Mariak Z, Rękas M. Phacotrabeculectomy versus Phaco with Implantation of the Ex-PRESS Device: Surgical and Refractive Outcomes-A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Clin Med. 2021 Jan 22;10(3):424. doi: 10.3390/jcm10030424.

70. Koval MS, et al. Clinical Study. Risk Factors for Tube Shunt Exposure: A Matched Case-Control Study. Journal of Ophthalmology Volume 2013, Article ID 196215.

71. Le JT, Bicket AK, Wang L, Li T. Ab interno trabecular bypass surgery with iStent for open-angle glaucoma. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;3(3):CD012743. Published 2019 Mar 28. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012743.pub2. PMID: 30919929.

72. Lim SY, Betzler BK, Yip LWL, Dorairaj S, Ang BCH. Standalone XEN45 Gel Stent implantation in the treatment of open-angle glaucoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Surv Ophthalmol. 2022 Jul-Aug;67(4):1048-1061. doi: 10.1016/j.survophthal.2022.01.003. Epub 2022 Jan 23. PMID: 35081414.

73. Liu B, Guo DD, Du XJ, Cong CY, Ma XH. Evaluation of Ex-PRESS implantation combined with phacoemulsification in primary angle-closure glaucoma. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016 Sep;95(36):e4613.

74. Manasses DT, Au L. The New Era of Glaucoma Micro-stent Surgery. Ophthalmol Ther. 2016 Dec;5(2):135-146.

75. Minckler DS, Francis BA, Hodapp EA et al. Aqueous shunts in glaucoma: a report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology. Ophthalmology 2008; 115(6):1089-98.

76. Moisseiev E, et al. Standard Trabeculectomy and Ex-PRESS Miniature Glaucoma Shunt: A Comparative Study and Literature Review. J Glaucoma. 2015 Aug; 24(6): 410–416.

77. Mosaed S, Dustin L, Minckler DS. Comparative Outcomes between Newer and Older Surgeries for Glaucoma. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 2009 December; 107: 127–133.

78. National Institute for Health and Clinical Evidence (NICE). Interventional Procedure Guidance 396 (IPG396). Trabecular stent bypass microsurgery for open angle glaucoma (May 2011). Accessed at: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/13157/54571/54571.pdf on 10/01/13.

79. National Institute for Health and Care Evidence (NICE). Trabecular stent bypass microsurgery for open-angle glaucoma [IPG575]. 2017; https://www.nice.org.uk/.

80. National Institute for Health and Clinical Evidence (NICE). Clinical Guidance 85 (CG85). Glaucoma: Diagnosis and management of chronic open angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension (April 2009). Accessed http://www.nice.org.uk/.

81. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Interventional Procedure Overview of ab interno supraciliary microstent insertion with phacoemulsification for primary open-angle glaucoma. IP 1531 [IPG605] (2018).

82. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). NICE guideline [NG81]: Glaucoma: diagnosis and management (last updated January 2022). Accessed http://www.nice.org.uk/.

83. Nehal MS, El Gendy MBB, Song JC. Long term comparison between single stage Baerveldt and Ahmed glaucoma implants in pediatric glaucoma. Saudi Journal of Ophthalmology (2012) 26, 323–326.

84. Nguyen QH. Primary surgical management refractory glaucoma: tubes as initial surgery. Current opinion in ophthalmology 20.2 (2009): 122-125.

85. Nichamin LD. Glaukos iStent® Trabecular Micro-Bypass. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol. 2009 Jul-Sep; 16(3): 138–140.

86. Olate-Pérez Á, Pérez-Torregrosa VT, et al. Prospective study of filtering blebs after XEN45 surgery. Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol. 2017 Aug;92(8):366-371.

87. Oo HH, Hong ASY, Lim SY, Ang BCH. Angle-based minimally invasive glaucoma surgery in normal tension glaucoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2024 Sep-Oct;52(7):740-760. doi: 10.1111/ceo.14408. Epub 2024 Jun 9. PMID: 38853535.

88. Perez CI, et al. Use of Nd:YAG laser to recanalize occluded Cypass Micro-Stent in the early post-operative period. Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep. 2018 Feb 15;10:114-116.

89. Pérez-Torregrosa VT, Olate-Pérez Á, et al. Combined phacoemulsification and XEN45 surgery from a temporal approach and 2 incisions. Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol. 2016 Sep;91(9):415-21.

90. Pfeiffer N, Garcia-Feijoo J., et al. A Randomized Trial of a Schlemm's Canal Microstent with Phacoemulsification for Reducing Intraocular Pressure in Open-Angle Glaucoma. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.03.031 ISSN 0161-6420/15. © 2015 by the American Academy of Ophthalmology.

91. Pillunat LE, et al. Micro-invasive glaucoma surgery (MIGS): a review of surgical procedures using stents. Clin Ophthalmol. 2017 Aug 29;11:1583-1600.

92. Pinchuk L, et al. The use of poly(styrene-block-isobutylene-block-styrene) as a microshunt to treat glaucoma. Regen Biomater. 2016 Jun;3(2):137-42.

93. Pinchuk L, et al. The development of a micro-shunt made from poly(styrene-block-isobutylene-block-styrene) to treat glaucoma. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater. 2017 Jan;105(1):211-221.

94. Pinto Ferreira N, Abegão Pinto L, Marques-Neves C. XEN Gel Stent Internal Ostium Occlusion: Ab-Interno Revision. J Glaucoma. 2017 Apr;26(4):e150-e152.

95. Richter GM, Coleman AL. Minimally invasive glaucoma surgery: current status and future prospects. Clin Ophthalmol. 2016 Jan 28;10:189-206.

96. Samuelson TW, Chang DF, Marquis R, Flowers B, Lim KS, Ahmed IIK, Jampel HD, Aung T, Crandall AS, Singh K; HORIZON Investigators. A Schlemm Canal Microstent for Intraocular Pressure Reduction in Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma and Cataract: The HORIZON Study. Ophthalmology. 2019 Jan;126(1):29-37. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.05.012. Epub 2018 Jun 23.

97. Samuelson TW, Katz LJ, Wells JM, Duh YJ, Giamporcaro JE. (2011). Randomized evaluation of the trabecular micro-bypass stent with phacoemulsification in patients with glaucoma and cataract. Ophthalmology, 118(3), 459-467.

98. Samuelson TW, Sarkisian SR Jr, Lubeck DM, et al. Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Pivotal Trial of an Ab Interno Implanted Trabecular Micro-Bypass in Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma and Cataract: Two-Year Results. Ophthalmology. 2019;126(6):811–821.

99. Sarkisian Jr, SR. Tube shunt complications and their prevention. Current Opinion in Ophthalmology 20.2 (2009): 126-130.

100. Sarkisian SR Jr, Grover DS, Gallardo MJ, Brubaker JW, Giamporcaro JE, Hornbeak DM, Katz LJ, Navratil T; iStent infinite Study Group. Effectiveness and Safety of iStent Infinite Trabecular Micro-Bypass for Uncontrolled Glaucoma. J Glaucoma. 2023 Jan 1;32(1):9-18. doi: 10.1097/IJG.0000000000002141. Epub 2022 Oct 20.

101. Schargus M, Theilig T, Rehak M, Busch C, Bormann C, Unterlauft JD. Outcome of a single XEN microstent implant for glaucoma patients with different types of glaucoma. BMC Ophthalmol. 2020 Dec 17;20(1):490. doi: 10.1186/s12886-020-01764-8.

102. Schlenker MB, Gulamhusein H, et al. Efficacy, Safety, and Risk Factors for Failure of Standalone Ab Interno Gelatin Microstent Implantation versus Standalone Trabeculectomy. Ophthalmology. 2017 Nov;124(11):1579-1588.

103. Sheybani A, Vera V, Grover DS, Vold SD, Cotter F, Bedrood S, Sawhney G, Piette SD, Simonyi S, Gu X, Balaram M, Gallardo MJ. Gel Stent Versus Trabeculectomy: The Randomized, Multicenter, Gold-Standard Pathway Study (GPS) of Effectiveness and Safety at 12 Months. Am J Ophthalmol. 2023 Aug;252:306-325. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2023.03.026. Epub 2023 Mar 25.

104. Stoner AM, Capitena Young CE, SooHoo JR, Pantcheva MB, Patnaik JL, Kahook MY, Seibold LK. A Comparison of Clinical Outcomes After XEN Gel Stent and EX-PRESS Glaucoma Drainage Device Implantation. J Glaucoma. 2021 Jun 1;30(6):481-488. doi: 10.1097/IJG.0000000000001823. PMID: 34060508.

105. Szigiato AA, Touma S, Jabbour S, Lord F, Agoumi Y, Singh H. Efficacy of ab-interno gelatin microstent implantation in primary and refractory glaucoma. Can J Ophthalmol. 2023 Aug;58(4):328-337. doi: 10.1016/j.jcjo.2022.02.012. Epub 2022 Mar 23.

106. Tamaki R, Zako M. Interference of Descemet's Membrane with Aqueous Humor Drainage via an ExPRESS Mini Shunt. Case Rep Ophthalmol. 2014 Oct 23;5(3):343-6.

107. Tan SZ, Walkden A, Au L. One-year result of XEN45 implant for glaucoma: efficacy, safety, and postoperative management. Eye (Lond). 2017 Sep 1.

108. Thieme H. Current status of epibulbar anti-glaucoma drainage devices in glaucoma surgery. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2012 Oct;109(40):659-64.

109. To LK, Dhoot RK, Chuang AZ, Karimaghaei S, Guevara-Abadia F, Shah RD, Feldman RM. Defining the role of ab externo Xen gel stent in glaucomatous eyes with prior failed surgical intervention. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2023 Mar;261(3):779-789. doi: 10.1007/s00417-022-05857-6. Epub 2022 Oct 22.

110. UpToDate®. Open-angle glaucoma: Treatment. 2025. Accessed at uptodate.com.

111. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Executive Summary P080030: Glaucos, Inc. iStent Trabecular Micro-Bypass Stent. 2010. Accessed at http://www.fda.gov/.

112. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). PMA P080030a; P080030c: Glaukos iStent® Trabecular Micro-Bypass Stent (Models: GTS-100R, GTS-100L) and Inserter (GTS-100i). Accessed at http://www.fda.gov/.

113. Vold S, et al. Two-Year COMPASS Trial Results: Supraciliary Microstenting with Phacoemulsification in Patients with Open-Angle Glaucoma and Cataracts. Ophthalmology. 2016;123(10):2103-2112.

114. Vold SD, Voskanyan L, Tetz M, Auffarth G, Masood I, Au L, Ahmed II, Saheb H. Newly Diagnosed Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma Randomized to 2 Trabecular Bypass Stents or Prostaglandin: Outcomes Through 36 Months. Ophthalmol Ther. 2016 Dec;5(2):161-172. doi: 10.1007/s40123-016-0065-3. Epub 2016 Sep 12. Erratum in: Ophthalmol Ther. 2016 Dec;5(2):173.

115. Voskanyan L, García-Feijoó J, Belda JI, Fea A, Jünemann A, Baudouin C. (2014). Prospective, Unmasked Evaluation of the iStent® Inject System for Open-Angle Glaucoma: Synergy Trial. Advances in therapy, 1-13.

116. Wagner FM, Schuster AK, Emmerich J, Chronopoulos P, Hoffmann EM. Efficacy and safety of XEN®—Implantation vs. trabeculectomy: Data of a “real-world” setting. PLoS One. 2020 Apr 20;15(4):e0231614.

117. Wagschal LD, Trope GE, Jinapriya D, Jin YP, Buys YM. Prospective Randomized Study Comparing Ex-PRESS to Trabeculectomy: 1-Year Results. J Glaucoma. 2015 Oct-Nov;24(8):624-9. doi: 10.1097/IJG.0000000000000029. PMID: 24247999.

118. Wang W, Zhou M, Huang W, Zhang X. Ex-PRESS implantation versus trabeculectomy in uncontrolled glaucoma: a meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2013 May 31;8(5):e63591.

119. Wang YW, Wang PB, Zeng C, Xia XB. Comparison of the Ahmed glaucoma valve with the Baerveldt glaucoma implant: a meta-analysis. BMC Ophthalmol. 2015 Oct 13;15:132.

120. Yang X, Zhao Y, Zhong Y, Duan X. The efficacy of XEN gel stent implantation in glaucoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Ophthalmol. 2022 Jul 15;22(1):305. doi: 10.1186/s12886-022-02502-y.

COMMITTEE APPROVAL:

This Medical Coverage Guideline (MCG) was approved by the Florida Blue Medical Policy and Coverage Committee on 06/25/26.

GUIDELINE UPDATE INFORMATION:

01/15/13	New Medical Coverage Guideline.
11/15/13	Scheduled review. Revised description section, position statement, program exceptions section and index terms. Updated references and reformatted guideline.
01/01/14	Annual CPT update. Added 66183; deleted 0192T.
05/15/14	Revision; updated position statement. Revised CPT coding and index terms. Updated references and reformatted guideline.
09/15/14	Scheduled review. Position statement maintained. Revised CPT, ICD9 and ICD10 coding. Updated references. Reformatted guideline.
01/01/15	Annual CPT/HCPCS update. Added 66179, 66184, 0376T. Revised 66180, 66185, 0191T descriptors.
09/15/15	Scheduled review. Position statement maintained. Updated references.
11/01/15	Revision: ICD-9 Codes deleted.
08/15/16	Scheduled review. Maintained position statement. Revised description section and index terms. Updated references.
10/01/16	ICD-10 coding update: deleted codes H40.11X0 – H40.11X4; added codes H40.1110 – H40.1194 and H40.40X0 – H40.43X4.
01/01/17	Annual CPT/HCPCS update. Added 0449T, 0450T.
07/01/17	Quarterly CPT/HCPCS update. Added code 0474T. Reformatted guideline.
08/15/17	Scheduled review. Revised description. Added clarification regarding micro-stent coverage. Revised index terms. Updated references.
12/15/17	Unscheduled review. Maintained position statement and updated references.
08/15/18	Scheduled review. Revised description, definitions, and index terms. Maintained position statement and updated references.
04/15/19	Scheduled review. Revised description, position statement and index terms. Updated references.
04/15/20	Scheduled review. Maintained position statement and updated references.
06/15/21	Scheduled review. Maintained position statement and updated references.
01/01/22	Annual CPT/HCPCS coding update. Added 0671T. Deleted 0191T, 0376T.
05/22/23	Update to Program Exceptions section.
08/15/23	Scheduled review. Maintained position statement and updated references.
07/15/24	Scheduled review. Revised description. Maintained position statement and updated references.
07/15/25	Scheduled review. Maintained position statement and updated references.
07/15/26	Review; no change in position statement. Updated references.