02-33000-32

Original Effective Date: 07/15/12

Reviewed: 03/25/21

Revised: 04/15/21

Subject: Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement

THIS MEDICAL COVERAGE GUIDELINE IS NOT AN AUTHORIZATION, CERTIFICATION, EXPLANATION OF BENEFITS, OR A GUARANTEE OF PAYMENT, NOR DOES IT SUBSTITUTE FOR OR CONSTITUTE MEDICAL ADVICE. ALL MEDICAL DECISIONS ARE SOLELY THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PATIENT AND PHYSICIAN. BENEFITS ARE DETERMINED BY THE GROUP CONTRACT, MEMBER BENEFIT BOOKLET, AND/OR INDIVIDUAL SUBSCRIBER CERTIFICATE IN EFFECT AT THE TIME SERVICES WERE RENDERED. THIS MEDICAL COVERAGE GUIDELINE APPLIES TO ALL LINES OF BUSINESS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED IN THE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION.

DESCRIPTION:

Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) or implantation (TAVI) is a potential alternative treatment for patients with severe aortic stenosis as an alternative treatment for individuals that are not candidates for surgery due to prohibitive surgical risk or for patients who are at high risk for open valve replacement surgery. The procedure is performed percutaneously, most often through the transfemoral artery approach. It can also be done through the subclavian artery approach and trans-apically using mediastinoscopy.

Several transcatheter aortic valve device systems have received FDA approval (e.g., Edwards SAPIEN, Medtronic CoreValve).

POSITION STATEMENT:

Transcatheter aortic valve replacement with an FDA approved transcatheter heart valve system, performed via an approach consistent with the device's FDA approved labeling **meets the definition of medical necessity** for members with native valve aortic stenosis when **ALL** of the following conditions are present:

- Severe aortic stenosis with a calcified aortic annulus (see notes below); **AND**
- New York Heart Association (NYHA) heart failure Class II,III or IV symptoms; **AND**
- Left ventricular ejection fraction >20%; **AND**
- Member does not have unicuspid or bicuspid aortic valves.

Transcatheter aortic valve replacement performed with a transcatheter heart valve system with an FDA approved device approved for use for repair of a degenerated bioprosthetic valve (valve-in-valve) **meets the definition of medical necessity** when **ALL** of the following conditions are present:

- Failed (stenosed, insufficient, or combined) of a surgical bioprosthetic aortic valve; **AND**
- NYHA heart failure class II, III or IV symptoms; **AND**
- Left ventricular ejection fraction greater than 20%; **AND**
- Member is not an operable candidate for open surgery, as judged by at least 2 cardiovascular specialists (cardiologist and/or cardiac surgeon); or member is an operable candidate but is at high or intermediate risk for open surgery (see notes below).

NOTES:

For the use of the SAPIEN or CoreValve devices, severe aortic stenosis is defined by **ONE OR MORE** of the following criteria:

- An aortic valve area of less than or equal to 1 cm^2;
- An aortic valve area index of less than or equal to 0.6 cm^2/m^2;
- A mean aortic valve gradient greater than or equal to 40 mm Hg;
- A peak aortic-jet velocity greater than or equal to 4.0 m/sec.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) definition of extreme risk or inoperable for open surgery:

- Predicted risk of operative mortality and/or serious irreversible morbidity 50% or higher for open surgery.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) definition of high risk for open surgery:

- Society of Thoracic Surgeons predicted operative risk score of >8%; **OR**
- Judged by a heart team, which includes an experienced cardiac surgeon and a cardiologist, the individual has an expected mortality risk of >15% for open surgery.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) definition of intermediate risk for open surgery:

- Society of Thoracic Surgeons predicted operative risk score of 3% to 7%.

Individuals with Society of Thoracic Surgeons predicted operative risk score of less than 3% or 4% are considered at low risk for open surgery.

BILLING/CODING INFORMATION:

CPT Coding

33361	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve; percutaneous femoral artery approach
33362	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve; open femoral artery approach

33363	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve; open axillary artery approach
33364	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve; open iliac artery approach
33365	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve; transaortic approach (e.g., median sternotomy, mediastinotomy)
33366	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve; transapical exposure (eg, left thoracotomy)
33367	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve; cardiopulmonary bypass support with percutaneous peripheral arterial and venous cannulation (e.g., femoral vessels) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
33368	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve; cardiopulmonary bypass support with open peripheral arterial and venous cannulation (e.g., femoral, iliac, axillary vessels) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
33369	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve; cardiopulmonary bypass support with central arterial and venous cannulation (e.g., aorta, right atrium, pulmonary artery) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

LOINC Codes:

Documentation Table	LOINC Codes	LOINC Time Frame Modifier Code	LOINC Time Frame Modifier Codes Narrative
Physician history and physical	28626-0	18805-2	Include all data of the selected type that represents observations made six months or fewer before starting date of service for the claim
Attending physician progress note	18741-9	18805-2	Include all data of the selected type that represents observations made six months or fewer before starting date of service for the claim.
Plan of treatment	18776-5	18805-2	Include all data of the selected type that represents observations made six months or fewer before starting date of service for the claim.
Laboratory studies	26436-6	18805-2	Include all data of the selected type that represents observations made six months or fewer before starting date of service for the claim

REIMBURSEMENT INFORMATION:

Refer to section entitled **POSITION STATEMENT**.

PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS:

Federal Employee Program (FEP): Follow FEP guidelines.

State Account Organization (SAO): Follow SAO guidelines.

Medicare Advantage products: The following National Coverage Determination (NCD) was reviewed on the last guideline reviewed date: Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR) (20.32) located at cms.gov. No Local Coverage Determination (LCD) was found at the time of the last guideline reviewed date.

DEFINITIONS

Aortic stenosis: a narrowing of the aortic valve opening, resulting in obstruction of blood flow from the left ventricle into the ascending aorta. Treatment of aortic stenosis is primarily surgical, involving replacement of the diseased valve with a bio-prosthetic or mechanical valve by open heart surgery.

Mediastinoscopy: a surgical procedure that allows physicians to view areas of the mediastinum, the cavity behind the breastbone that lies between the lungs. The organs in the mediastinum include the heart and its vessels, the lymph nodes, trachea, esophagus, and thymus.

New York Heart Association (NYHA) Functional Classification of heart failure symptoms: A classification for the extent of heart failure. Places patients in one of four categories based on the patient's physical activity limitations. These limitations/symptoms are relevant to normal breathing and varying degrees in shortness of breath and or angina pain:

NYHA Class	
I	No limitation of physical activity. Ordinary physical activity does not cause undue fatigue, palpitation, dyspnea (shortness of breath).
II	Slight limitation of physical activity. Comfortable at rest. Ordinary physical activity results in fatigue, palpitation, dyspnea (shortness of breath).
III	Marked limitation of physical activity. Comfortable at rest. Less than ordinary activity causes fatigue, palpitation, or dyspnea.
IV	Unable to carry on any physical activity without discomfort. Symptoms of heart failure at rest. If any physical activity is undertaken, discomfort increases.

RELATED GUIDELINES:

None applicable.

OTHER:

Other names used to report transcatheter aortic valve replacement:

Transcather aortic valve implantation

Note: The use of specific product names is illustrative only. It is not intended to be a recommendation of one product over another, and is not intended to represent a complete listing of all products available.

Acurata TA (Symetis)
CoreValve (Medtronic)
Engager (Medtronic)
JenaValve (JenaValve Technology)

Nordic valve
Portico (St. Jude Medical)

REFERENCES:

1. 2008 Focused Update Incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2006 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease. A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 1998 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease). Endorsed by the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008 Sep 23; 52(13):e1-142.
2. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)/National Guideline Clearinghouse; Risks, Benefits of Emerging Heart Valve Replacement Technique Not Fully Understood. Press Release; August 2, 2010.
3. American Association for Thoracic Surgery (AATS) Website. 2012 ACCF/AATS/SCAI/STS expert consensus document on transcatheter aortic valve replacement, January 2012.
4. American Heart Association: Classes of heart failure, 05/17.
5. Ando T, Takagi H, Grines CL. Transfemoral, transapical and transcatheter aortic valve implantation and surgical aortic valve replacement: a meta-analysis of direct and adjusted indirect comparisons of early and mid-term deaths. Interactive Cardiovascular Thoracic Surgery 2017 Sept; 25 (3): 484-492.
6. Arnold M, Schulz-Heise S, Achenbach S, et al. Embolic cerebral insults after transapical aortic valve implantation detected by magnetic resonance imaging. JACC Cardiovascular Interventions. Nov 2010;3(11):1126-1132.
7. Baron SJ, Arnold SV, Reynolds MR et al. Durability of quality of life benefits of transcatheter aortic valve replacement: Long-term results from the CoreValve US extreme risk trial. American Heart Journal. 2017 Dec;194:39-48.
8. Baumgartner H, Falk V, Bax JJ et al. 2017 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. European Heart Journal 2017; 38: 2739-2791
9. Blackstone EH, Suri RM, Rajeswaran J et al. Propensity-matched comparisons of clinical outcomes after transapical or transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a placement of aortic transcatheter valves (PARTNER)-I trial substudy. Circulation 2015; 131(22): 1989-2000.
10. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Evidence Positioning System®. 7.01.132 Transcatheter Aortic-Valve Implantation for Aortic Stenosis, 03/21.
11. Bonow RO, Brown AS, Gillam LD et al. ACC/AATS/AHA/ASE/EACTS/HVS/SCA/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2017 appropriate use criteria for the treatment of patients with severe aortic stenosis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2017.
12. Deeb GM, Reardon MJ, Chetcuti S et al. 3-Year Outcomes in High-Risk Patients Who Underwent Surgical or Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. Journal of the American College of. 2016 Jun 7;67(22):2565-2574.
13. D'Onofrio A, Alfieri OR, Cioni M, Alamanni F, Fusari M, Tarzia V, Rizzoli G, Gerosa G. The impact of transcatheter aortic valve implantation on patients' profiles and outcomes of aortic valve surgery programmes: a multi-institutional appraisal. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2013 May; 16(5):608-11.
14. Garg A, Rao SV, Visveswaran G et al. Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Versus Surgical Valve Replacement in Low-Intermediate Surgical Risk Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Invasive Cardiology 2017.

15. Ghanem A, Muller A, Nahle CP, et al. Risk and fate of cerebral embolism after transfemoral aortic valve implantation: a prospective pilot study with diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging. Journal of American College of Cardiology. 2010;55(14):1427-1432.
16. Gilard M, et al. Registry of transcatheter aortic-valve implantation in high-risk patients. N Engl J Med. 2012 May 3; 366(18):1705-15.
17. Gozdek M, Raffa GM, Suwalski P et al. Comparative performance of transcatheter aortic valve-in-valve implantation versus conventional surgical redo aortic valve replacement in patients with degenerated aortic valve bioprostheses: systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery 2018 March; 53(3): 495-504.
18. Halim SA, Edwards FH, Dai D, et al. Outcomes of transcatheter aortic valve replacement in patients with bicuspid aortic valve disease: a report from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons/American College of Cardiology Transcatheter Valve Therapy Registry. Circulation. 2020 Mar 31;141(13):1071-1079.
19. Hemmann K, et al. The STS score is the strongest predictor of long-term survival following transcatheter aortic valve implantation, whereas access route (transapical versus transfemoral) has no predictive value beyond the periprocedural phase. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2013 Aug; 17(2):359-64.
20. Holmes DR, Jr., Mack MJ. Transcatheter valve therapy; a professional society overview from the American College of Cardiology Foundation and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011; 58(4):445-55.
21. Holmes DR Jr, Mack MJ, Kaul S et al. 2012 ACCF/AATS/SCAI/STS Expert Consensus Document on Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012 Mar 27; 59(13):1200-54.
22. Jegaden O, Lapeze J, Farhart F, de Gevigney G. Aortic valve stenosis after previous coronary bypass: transcatheter valve implantation or aortic valve replacement? J Cardiothorac Surg. 2012 May 29; 7:47.
23. Kahlert P, Knipp SC, Schlamann M, et al. Silent and apparent cerebral ischemia after percutaneous transfemoral aortic valve implantation: a diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging study. Circulation 2010;121(7):870-878.
24. Kapadia SR, Kodali S, Makkar R, et al. Protection Against Cerebral Embolism During Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. Journal of American College of Cardiology 2017;69(4):367-377.
25. Kapadia SR, Tuzcu EM, Makkar RR et al. Long-term outcomes of inoperable patients with aortic stenosis randomly assigned to transcatheter aortic valve replacement or standard therapy. Circulation 2014; 130(17): 1483-1492.
26. Khan SU, Lone AN, Saleem MA et al. Transcatheter vs surgical aortic-valve replacement in low- to intermediate-surgical-risk candidates: A meta-analysis and systematic review. Clinical Cardiology 2017 Nov; 40(11):974-981.
27. Kodali SK, et al. Two-Year Outcomes after Transcatheter or Surgical Aortic-Valve Replacement. N Engl J Med, 03/26/12 [PARTNER trial].
28. Makkar RR, et al. Transcatheter Aortic-Valve Replacement for Inoperable Severe Aortic Stenosis. N Engl J Med, 03/26/12.
29. Linke A, Wenaweser P, Gerckens U et al. Treatment of aortic stenosis with a self-expanding transcatheter valve: the International Multi-centre ADVANCE Study. European Heart Journal 2014; 35(38): 2672-2684.
30. Ludman PF, Moat N, deBelder MA et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation in the United Kingdom: temporal trends, predictors of outcome, and 6-year follow-up: a report from the UK Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) Registry, 2007 to 2012. Circulation 2015; 131(13): 1181-1205.
31. Meredith IT, Walton A, Walters DL, et al. Mid-term outcomes in patients following transcatheter aortic valve implantation in the CoreValve Australia and New Zealand Study. Heart Lung Circ. Mar 2015; 24(3):281-290.

32. Muneretto C, Bisleri G, Moggi A et al. Treating the patients in the 'grey-zone' with aortic valve disease: a comparison among conventional surgery, sutureless valves and transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery 2015; 20(1): 90-95.
33. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) interventional procedure guidance 421. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation for aortic stenosis. March 2012.
34. Nishimura RA, Otto MC, Bonow RO et al. 2017 AHA/ACC focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease. a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2017; 135: e1159-e1495.
35. Osnabrugge RL, Arnold SV, Reynolds MR et al. Health status after transcatheter aortic valve replacement in patients at extreme surgical risk: results from the CoreValve U.S. trial. JACC Cardiovascular Intervention 2015; 8(2): 315-323.
36. Panoulas VF, Francis DP, Ruparelia N et al. Female-specific survival advantage from transcatheter aortic valve implantation over surgical aortic valve replacement: meta-analysis of the gender subgroups of randomised controlled trials including 3758 patients. International Journal of Cardiology 2018 Jan; 250: 66-72.0.
37. Pilgrim T, Lee JKT, O'Sullivan CJ, et al. Early versus newer generation devices for transcatheter aortic valve implantation in routine clinical practice: a propensity score matched analysis. Open Heart 2018 Jan 20;5(1):e000695.
38. Smith CR, et al. Transcatheter versus surgical aortic-valve replacement in high-risk patients. N Engl J Med. 2011 Jun 9; 364(23):2187-98.
39. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. Feb 02 2021; 77(4): e25-e197.
40. Pilgrim T, et al. Predictors of clinical outcomes in patients with severe aortic stenosis undergoing TAVI: a multistate analysis. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2012 Dec; 5(6):856-61.
41. Popma JJ, Deeb GM, Yakubov SJ et al. Transcatheter aortic-valve replacement with a self-expanding valve in low-risk patients.. N. Engl. J. Med., 2019 Mar 19;380(18).
42. Prabhu W, Gordon PC. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a review of current indications and outcomes. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2013 Aug; 17(2):359-64.
43. Quintana RA, Monlezun DJ, DaSilva-DeAbreu A et al. One-year mortality in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement for stenotic bicuspid versus tricuspid aortic valves: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. J Interv Cardiol. 2019 Jan 2;201.
44. Reardon MJ, Feldman TE, Meduri CU et al. Two-year outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve replacement with mechanical vs self-expanding valves: the REPRISE III randomized clinical trial.. JAMA Cardiol, 2019 Feb 28;4(3).
45. Reardon MJ, Kleiman NS, Adams DH et al. Outcomes in the Randomized CoreValve US Pivotal High Risk Trial in Patients With a Society of Thoracic Surgeons Risk Score of 7% or Less. JAMA Cardiology 2016 Nov 1;1(8):945-949.
46. Reardon MJ, Van Mieghem NM, Popma JJ et al. Surgical or Transcatheter Aortic-Valve Replacement in Intermediate-Risk Patients. New England Journal of Medicine 2017 Apr 6;376(14):1321-1331.
47. Schymik G, Wurth A, Bramlage P et al. Long-term results of transapical versus transfemoral TAVI in a real world population of 1000 patients with severe symptomatic aortic stenosis. Circulation Cardiovascular Intervention 2014; 8(1):e000761.
48. Sondergaard L, Ihlemann N, Capodanno D et al. Durability of transcatheter and surgical bioprosthetic aortic valves in patients at lower surgical risk. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019 Feb 12;73(5):546-553.

49. Sondergaard L, Steinbrüchel DA, Ihlemann N et al. Two-year outcomes in patients with severe aortic valve stenosis randomized to transcatheter versus surgical aortic valve replacement: the all-comers nordic aortic valve intervention randomized clinical trial. Cardiovascular Intervention 2016.
50. Svensson LG, et al. Aortic valve and ascending aorta guidelines for management and quality measures. Ann Thorac Surg. 2013 Jun; 95(6 Suppl):S1-66.
51. Svensson LG, Tuzcu M, Kapadia S et al. A comprehensive review of the PARTNER trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2013 Mar;145(3 Suppl): S11-6.
52. Thyregod HGH, Ihlemann N, Jorgensen TH et al. Five-year clinical and echocardiographic outcomes from the Nordic Aortic Valve Intervention (NOTION) randomized clinical trial in lower surgical risk patients. Circulation, 2019 Feb 1.
53. US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA approves first artificial aortic heart valve placed without open-heart surgery, FDA News Release, Nov 02, 2011.
54. US Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness for the Edwards SAPIEN Transcatheter Heart Valve (PMA P11021). 2012.
55. US Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data: Medtronic CoreValve™ System (MCS) P130021/S010, 03/30/15.
56. Walther T, Simon P, Dewey T, et al. Transapical Minimally Invasive Aortic Valve Implantation. Multicenter Experience. Circulation. 2007; 116[suppl I]: I-240–I-245.
57. Webb JG, Murdoch DJ, Alu MC et al. 3-year outcomes after valve-in-valve transcatheter aortic valve replacement for degenerated bioprostheses: the PARTNER 2 Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019 Jun 4;73(21): 2647-2655.

COMMITTEE APPROVAL:

This Medical Coverage Guideline (MCG) was approved by the Florida Blue Medical Policy & Coverage Committee on 03/25/21.

GUIDELINE UPDATE INFORMATION:

07/15/12	New Medical Coverage Guideline.
01/15/13	Annual CPT/HCPCS coding update; added 0318T, 33361-33369; deleted 0276T-0279T.
04/15/13	Revision of Position Statement; references updated; formatting changes; Program Exceptions section updated.
01/01/14	Annual CPT/HCPCS coding update; added 33366 and deleted 0318T.
04/15/14	Annual review; Position Statement reformatted; additional reformatting; references updated.
12/15/15	Revision; added Medtronic CoreVale device to description and “with an FDA approved device” to position statement. Updated and reformatted references.
10/15/16	Revision; Revised position statement for transcatheter aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis. Added position statement for transcatheter aortic valve replacement for repair of a degenerated bioprosthetic valve. Deleted transcatheter aortic valve replacement experimental or investigational indications. Upated New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional classification of heart failure symptoms.
06/15/18	Revision; added “or intermediate” to position statement. Added position statement for other indications. Updated description and references.
05/15/19	Review; no change to position statement. Removed investigational from code (33363, 33364, 33365, 33368, 33369). Updated references.

04/15/20	Review/revision. Added an exclusion for members with unicuspid or bicuspid aortic valve for native valve aortic stenosis. Added valve-in-valve to repair of a degenerated bioprosthetic valve and operative risk score for low risk for open surgery. Updated references.
04/15/21	Review/revision. Deleted statement related to member is not an operable candidate for open surgery. Revised statement for low risk for open heart surgery. Updated references.