

09-L0000-07

Original Effective Date: 04/15/07

Reviewed: 04/28/22

Revised: 05/15/22

## Subject: Myoelectric Prosthetic and Orthotic Components for the Upper Limb

THIS MEDICAL COVERAGE GUIDELINE IS NOT AN AUTHORIZATION, CERTIFICATION, EXPLANATION OF BENEFITS, OR A GUARANTEE OF PAYMENT, NOR DOES IT SUBSTITUTE FOR OR CONSTITUTE MEDICAL ADVICE. ALL MEDICAL DECISIONS ARE SOLELY THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PATIENT AND PHYSICIAN. BENEFITS ARE DETERMINED BY THE GROUP CONTRACT, MEMBER BENEFIT BOOKLET, AND/OR INDIVIDUAL SUBSCRIBER CERTIFICATE IN EFFECT AT THE TIME SERVICES WERE RENDERED. THIS MEDICAL COVERAGE GUIDELINE APPLIES TO ALL LINES OF BUSINESS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED IN THE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION.

[Position Statement](#)

[Billing/Coding](#)

[Reimbursement](#)

[Program  
Exceptions](#)

[Definitions](#)

[Related Guidelines](#)

[Other](#)

[References](#)

[Updates](#)

### DESCRIPTION:

Myoelectric prostheses are powered by electric motors with an external power source. The joint movement of an upper-limb prosthesis or orthosis (eg, hand, wrist, and/or elbow) is driven by microchip-processed electrical activity in the muscles of the remaining limb or limb stump.

Myoelectric prostheses use muscle activity from the remaining limb for control of joint movement. Electromyographic signals from the limb stump are detected by surface electrodes, amplified, and then processed by a controller to drive battery-powered motors that move the hand, wrist, or elbow. Although upper arm movement may be slow and limited to 1 joint at a time, myoelectric control of movement may be considered the most physiologically natural.

Myoelectric hand attachments are similar in form to those offered with the body-powered prosthesis but are battery-powered. A hybrid system, a combination of body-powered and myoelectric components, may be used for high-level amputations (at or above the elbow). Hybrid systems allow control of 2 joints at once (ie, 1 body-powered, 1 myoelectric) and are generally lighter than a prosthesis composed entirely of myoelectric components.

Myoelectric controlled upper-limb orthoses have been proposed for patients with traumatic brain injury, spinal cord injury, brachial plexus injury, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and multiple sclerosis. One such device is the MyoPro myoelectric powered upper-extremity orthotic. This device weighs about 4 pounds, has manual wrist articulation, and myoelectric initiated bi-directional elbow movement. The MyoPro detects weak muscle activity from the affected muscle groups.

## POSITION STATEMENT:

Myoelectric upper limb prosthetic components **meet the definition of medical necessity** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- The member has demonstrated sufficient neurologic and cognitive function to operate the prosthesis effectively; **AND**
- The member has an amputation or missing limb at the wrist or above (e.g. forearm, elbow); **AND**
- The member is free of comorbidities that could interfere with function of the prosthesis (e.g. neuromuscular disease); **AND**
- The remaining musculature of the arm(s) contains the minimum microvolt threshold to allow operation of a myoelectric prosthetic device; **AND**
- Standard body-powered prosthetic devices cannot be used or are insufficient to meet the functional needs of the member in performing activities of daily living (ADLs); **AND**
- Functional evaluation indicates that with training, use of a myoelectric prosthesis is likely to meet the functional needs of the member (eg, gripping, releasing, holding, coordinating movement of the prosthesis) when performing activities of daily living. This evaluation should consider the member's needs for control, durability (maintenance), function (speed, work capability), and usability.

Myoelectric upper limb prosthetic components **do not meet the definition of medical necessity** if all criteria listed above are not met.

A prosthesis with individually powered digits, including but not limited to a partial hand prosthesis, is considered **experimental or investigational**. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Advanced upper-limb prosthetic components with both sensor and myoelectric control (e.g., LUKE Arm) are considered **experimental or investigational**. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Myoelectric controlled upper-limb orthoses are considered **experimental or investigational**. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

## BILLING/CODING INFORMATION:

### HCPCS Coding

Prostheses	
L6026	Transcarpal/metacarpal or partial hand disarticulation prosthesis, external power, self-suspended, inner socket with removable forearm section, electrodes and cables, two batteries, charger, myoelectric control of terminal device, excludes terminal device(s)
L6925	Wrist disarticulation, external power, self-suspended inner socket, removable forearm shell, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
L6935	Below elbow, external power, self-suspended inner socket, removable forearm shell, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device

L6945	Elbow disarticulation external power, molded inner socket, removable humeral shell, outside locking hinges, forearm, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
L6955	Above elbow external power, molded inner socket, removable humeral shell, internal locking elbow, forearm, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
L6965	Shoulder disarticulation external power, molded inner socket, removable shoulder shell, shoulder bulkhead, humeral section, mechanical elbow, forearm, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
L6975	Interscapular-thoracic external power, molded inner socket, removable shoulder shell, shoulder bulkhead, humeral section, mechanical elbow, forearm, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
L8701	Powered upper extremity range of motion assist device, elbow, wrist, hand with single or double upright(s), includes microprocessor, sensors, all components and accessories, custom fabricated ( <b>Investigational</b> )
L8702	Powered upper extremity range of motion assist device, elbow, wrist, hand, finger, single or double upright(s), includes microprocessor, sensors, all components and accessories, custom fabricated ( <b>Investigational</b> )
<b>Additions</b>	
L6611	Addition to upper extremity prosthesis, external powered, additional switch, any type
L6677	Upper extremity addition, harness, triple control, simultaneous operation of terminal device and elbow
L6715	Terminal device, multiple articulating digit, includes motor(s), initial issue or replacement ( <b>Investigational</b> )
L6880	Electric hand, switch, or myoelectric controlled, independently articulating digits, any grasp pattern or combination of grasp patterns, includes motor(s)
L6881	Automatic grasp feature, addition to upper limb prosthetic terminal device
L6882	Microprocessor control feature, addition to upper limb prosthetic terminal device
L7007	Electric hand, switch or myoelectric controlled, adult
L7008	Electric hand, switch or myoelectric controlled, pediatric
L7009	Electric hook, switch or myoelectric controlled, adult
L7045	Electric hook, switch or myoelectric controlled, pediatric
L7180	Electronic elbow, microprocessor sequential control of elbow and terminal device
L7181	Electronic elbow, microprocessor simultaneous control of elbow and terminal device
L7190	Electronic elbow, adolescent, Variety Village or equal, myoelectronically controlled
L7191	Electronic elbow, child, Variety Village or equal, myoelectronically controlled

## **REIMBURSEMENT INFORMATION:**

Refer to section entitled **POSITION STATEMENT**.

## **PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS:**

**Federal Employee Program (FEP):** Follow FEP guidelines.

**State Account Organization (SAO):** Follow SAO guidelines.

### **Medicare Advantage products:**

No National Coverage Determination (NCD) and/or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) were found at the time of the last guideline reviewed date.

The following article was reviewed on the last guideline reviewed date: Articulating Digit(s) and Prosthetic Hands-Correct Coding- Revised; located at cgsmedicare.com.

## **DEFINITIONS:**

No guideline specific definitions apply.

## **RELATED GUIDELINES:**

**[Lower Limb Microprocessor-Controlled Prosthetics, 09-L0000-06](#)**

## **OTHER:**

None applicable.

## **REFERENCES:**

1. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Evidence Positioning System®. 1.04.04 Myoelectric Prosthetic and Orthotic Components for the Upper Limb, 05/22.
2. Carey, SL, Lura, DJ, Highsmith, MJ. Differences in myoelectric and body-powered upper-limb prostheses: Systematic literature review. United States, 2015. P. 247-62.
3. CGS Administrators, LLC. Articulating Digit(s) and Prosthetic Hands-Correct Coding- Revised ; located at cgsmedicare.com.
4. ClinicalTrials.gov. Exterous Hand Control Through Fascicular Targeting (DEFT), sponsored by University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center; accessed April 2020.
5. Kilgore KL, Hoyen HA, Bryden AM, Hart RL, Keith MW, Peckham PH. An implanted upper-extremity neuroprosthesis using myoelectric control. J Hand Surg Am. 2008 Apr;33(4):539-50.
6. Kuiken, TA, et al. The use of targeted muscle reinnervation for improved myoelectric prosthesis control in bilateral shoulder disarticulation amputee. Prosthe Orthot Int, 02-Dec-2004; 28(3): 245-53.
7. Lake, C, Miguelez, J. Comparative Analysis of Microprocessors in Upper Limb Prosthetics. J Prosthe and Orthot; 2003; 15(2): p 48.
8. Lindner HY, Linacre JM, Norling Hermansson LM. Assessment of capacity for myoelectric control: evaluation of construct and rating scale. J Rehabil Med 2009; 41(6):467-74.
9. McCabe JP, Henniger D, et al. Feasibility and Clinical Experience of Implementing a Myoelectric Upper Limb Orthosis in the Rehabilitation of Chronic Stroke Patients: A Clinical Case Series Report. PloS One, 14 (4), e0215311 2019 Apr 12 eCollection 2019. PMID: 30978249.
10. Peters HT, Page SJ, et al. Giving Them a Hand: Wearing a Myoelectric Elbow-Wrist-Hand Orthosis Reduces Upper Extremity Impairment in Chronic Stroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 98 (9), 1821-1827 Sep 2017. PMID: 28130084.

11. Resnik LJ, Borgia ML, Acluche F, et al. How do the outcomes of the DEKA Arm compare to conventional prostheses? PloS One. Jan 2018;13(1):e0191326.
12. Resnik LJ, Borgia ML, Acluche F. Perceptions of satisfaction, usability and desirability of the DEKA Arm before and after a trial of home use. PloS One. Jun 2017;12(6):e0178640.
13. Resnik L, Cancio J, et al. Predictors of retention and attrition in a study of an advanced upper limb prosthesis: implications for adoption of the DEKA Arm. Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol. Feb 2018;13(2):206-210. PMID 28375687.
14. Resnik LJ, Borgia ML, et al. How do the outcomes of the DEKA Arm compare to conventional prostheses? PloS One. Jan 2018;13(1):e0191326. PMID 29342217.
15. Salminger S, Vujaklija I, et al. Functional Outcome Scores With Standard Myoelectric Prostheses in Below-Elbow Amputees. Am J Phys Med Rehabil, 98 (2), 125-129 Feb 2019. PMID: 30153123.
16. Sjöberg L, Linder H, et al. Long-term Results of Early Myoelectric Prosthesis Fittings: A Prospective Case-Control Study. Prosthet Orthot Int, 42 (5), 527-533 Oct 2018. PMID: 28905686.
17. U. S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA); accessed at fda.gov.

### **COMMITTEE APPROVAL:**

This Medical Coverage Guideline (MCG) was approved by the Florida Blue Medical Policy and Coverage Committee on 04/28/22.

### **GUIDELINE UPDATE INFORMATION:**

04/15/07	New Medical Coverage Guideline.
06/15/07	Reformatted guideline.
05/15/09	Scheduled review; no change to position statement; references updated.
05/15/11	Scheduled review; position statement unchanged; references updated.
01/01/12	Annual HCPCS coding update: added L6715 and L6880.
05/11/14	Revision: Program Exceptions section updated.
01/01/15	Annual coding update: Removed L6025; added L6026.
03/15/17	Revision; Position statement updates include revision to functional evaluation criterion and an investigational statement regarding prosthesis with individually powered digits was added; description section and references updated.
08/15/18	Review; Current position statements maintained; investigational statements for components with both sensor and myoelectric controls & myoelectric controlled upper-limb orthoses added; title, and references updated.
01/01/19	Annual CPT/HCPCS coding update. Added codes L8701 & L8702.
06/15/20	Review; Position statements maintained and references updated.
10/01/20	Quarterly CPT/HCPCS coding update; codes L8701 and L8702 revised.
05/15/22	Review: Position statements maintained; references updated.