05-86000-28

Original Effective Date: 02/15/09

Reviewed: 09/24/26

Revised: 10/15/26

Subject: Somatic Biomarker Testing (Including Liquid Biopsy) for Targeted Treatment in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, NTRK, RET and HER2)

THIS MEDICAL COVERAGE GUIDELINE IS NOT AN AUTHORIZATION, CERTIFICATION, EXPLANATION OF BENEFITS, OR A GUARANTEE OF PAYMENT, NOR DOES IT SUBSTITUTE FOR OR CONSTITUTE MEDICAL ADVICE. ALL MEDICAL DECISIONS ARE SOLELY THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PATIENT AND PHYSICIAN. BENEFITS ARE DETERMINED BY THE GROUP CONTRACT, MEMBER BENEFIT BOOKLET, AND/OR INDIVIDUAL SUBSCRIBER CERTIFICATE IN EFFECT AT THE TIME SERVICES WERE RENDERED. THIS MEDICAL COVERAGE GUIDELINE APPLIES TO ALL LINES OF BUSINESS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED IN THE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION.

Position Statement	Billing/Coding	Reimbursement	Program Exceptions	Definitions	Related Guidelines
Other	References	Update			

DESCRIPTION:

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is overexpressed in colorectal cancer (CRC). EGFR-targeted therapy combined with monoclonal antibodies cetuximab and panitumumab has shown a clear survival benefit in patients with metastatic CRC. However, this benefit depends on a lack of variants in certain genes in the signaling pathway downstream from the EGFR. It has been hypothesized that knowledge of tumor cell KRAS, NRAS, BRAF variant status might be used to predict nonresponse to anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody therapy. NTRK gene fusions, which are rare kinase fusion events, are a potential therapeutic target for CRC patients who may benefit from tropomysosin receptor kinase (TRK) inhibitor therapy. RET gene fusions, which are also rare, are a potential therapeutic target for CRC patients who may benefit from tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy. More recently, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) testing to select patients for targeted therapy has been proposed. Typically, the evaluation of biomarker status requires tissue biopsy. Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) or circulating tumor cell testing (also known as a liquid biopsy) is proposed as a non-invasive alternative.

The association between colorectal cancer and the expression of the miR-31-3p microRNA has been studied in patients treated with anti-EGFR therapy. miR-31-3p expression has also been proposed as a possible predictor of drug response.

Summary and Analysis of Evidence: Patients with metastatic CRC who are being considered for targeted therapy with an FDA-approved drug and undergo somatic testing for KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, NTRK, HER2 or RET variants using tissue biopsy specimens to guide treatment, the evidence includes FDA-approved therapeutics with National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommendations of 2A or higher and was not extensively evaluated. The evidence includes the pivotal studies leading to the FDA and National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommendations. Patients with metastatic CRC who are being considered for targeted therapy with FDA-approved drug and undergo somatic testing for KRAS, NRAS , NTRK, and BRAF variants using ctDNA (liquid biopsy), the evidence includes FDA-approved therapeutics with NCCN recommendations of 2A or higher and was not extensively evaluated. The evidence includes the pivotal studies leading to the FDA and NCCN recommendations.

For patients with metastatic CRC who are being considered for targeted therapy with FDA-approved drug and undergo somatic testing for RET rearrangements using ctDNA (liquid biopsy), no evidence was identified. At the time of this review, no plasma tests have received FDA approval as companion diagnostics to select individuals with CRC for treatment with RAS inhibitors. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome. and no studies were identified. Patients with metastatic CRC who are being considered for targeted therapy with FDA-approved drug and undergo somatic testing for HER2 overexpression using ctDNA (liquid biopsy), no evidence was identified. At the time of this review, no plasma tests have received FDA approval as companion diagnostics to select individuals with CRC for treatment with kinase inhibitors or anti-HER2 monoclonal antibodies. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome. and no studies were identified. The evidence to assess the association between metastatic colorectal cancer and the expression of miR-31-3p microRNA in individuals treated with anti-EGFR therapies includes small case studies. Anandappa, et al (2019) stated, "In conclusion, our results confirm the potential predictive role of miR-31-3p for the selection of patients undergoing anti-EGFR treatment. Further studies are needed to test if miR-31-3p might be combined with RAS testing in cfDNA to further identify best responders in specific clinical niches". No clinical guidelines were found that recommend the testing. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

POSITION STATEMENT:

Note: Coverage may be governed by state or federal mandates.

KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, NTRK, RET, or HER2 testing of tumor tissue biopsy specimens for members with metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) **meets the definition of medical necessity** to select treatment with FDA-approved therapies.

Analysis of plasma (liquid biopsy) for somatic variants using an FDA-approved companion diagnostic plasma test to detect ctDNA **meets the definition of medical necessity** for members with metastatic CRC as an alternative to tissue biopsy to predict treatment response to an FDA-approved therapy.

All other uses of KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, RET, or HER2 testing of tumor tissue to guide colorectal cancer targeted therapy are considered **experimental or investigational**. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

All other uses of circulating tumor DNA testing (liquid biopsy) to guide treatment in members with metastatic colorectal cancer is considered **experimental or investigational**. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

MicroRNA expression testing to predict anti-EGFR therapy response (e.g. miR-31now™) is considered **experimental or investigational**. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

BILLING/CODING INFORMATION:

CPT Coding:

81191	NTRK1 (neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 1) (eg, solid tumors) translocation analysis
81192	NTRK2 (neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 2) (eg, solid tumors) translocation analysis
81193	NTRK3 (neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 3) (eg, solid tumors) translocation analysis
81194	NTRK (neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 1, 2, and 3) (eg, solid tumors) translocation analysis
81210	BRAF (B-Raf proto-oncogene, serine/threonine kinase) (eg, colon cancer, melanoma), gene analysis, V600 variant(s)

81275	KRAS (Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog) (eg, carcinoma) gene analysis; variants in exon 2 (e.g., codons 12 and 13)
81276	KRAS (Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog) (eg, carcinoma) gene analysis; additional variant(s) (e.g., codon 61, codon 146)
81311	NRAS (neuroblastoma RAS viral [v-ras] oncogene homolog) (eg, colorectal carcinoma), gene analysis, variants in exon 2 (eg, codons 12 and 13) and exon 3 (eg, codon 61)
88360	Morphometric analysis, tumor immunohistochemistry (eg, Her-2/neu, estrogen receptor/progesterone receptor), quantitative or semiquantitative, per specimen, each single antibody stain procedure; manual
88363	Examination and selection of retrieved archival (ie, previously diagnosed) tissue(s) for molecular analysis (eg, KRAS mutational analysis)
0069U	Oncology (colorectal), microRNA, RT-PCR expression profiling of miR-31-3p, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue, algorithm reported as an expression score (**Investigational**)

REIMBURSEMENT INFORMATION:

KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, NTRK, RET or HER2 variant analysis are to be used for a one-time decision point.

PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS:

Federal Employee Program (FEP): Follow FEP guidelines.

State Account Organization (SAO): Follow SAO guidelines.

Medicare Advantage products: The following National Coverage Determination (NCD) was reviewed on the last guideline reviewed date: Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) (90.2) located at cms.gov.

The following is located at fcso.com: Local Coverage Article Billing and Coding: Molecular Pathology and Genetic Testing A58918.

If this Medical Coverage Guideline contains a step therapy requirement, in compliance with Florida law 627.42393, members or providers may request a step therapy protocol exemption to this requirement if based on medical necessity. The process for requesting a protocol exemption can be found at Coverage Protocol Exemption Request.

DEFINITIONS:

FDA List of Cleared or Approved Companion Diagnostic Devices (In Vitro and Imaging Tools): https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/in-vitro-diagnostics/list-cleared-or-approved-companion-diagnostic-devices-in-vitro-and-imaging-tools

RELATED GUIDELINES:

Genetic Testing, 05-82000-28
Genetic Testing for Lynch Syndrome and Other Inherited Colon Cancer Syndromes, 05-82000-31
Tumor/Genetic Markers, 05-82000-22

OTHER:

None applicable.

REFERENCES:

1. Aleksakhina SN, Imyanitov EN. Cancer Therapy Guided by Mutation Tests: Current Status and Perspectives. Int J Mol Sci. 2021 Oct 10;22(20):10931.
2. Allegra CJ, Rumble RB, Hamilton SR, et al. Extended RAS Gene Mutation Testing in Metastatic Colorectal Carcinoma to Predict Response to Anti-Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Monoclonal Antibody Therapy: American Society of Clinical Oncology Provisional Clinical Opinion Update 2015. J Clin Oncol. Jan 10 2016;34(2):179-185.
3. Amado RG, Wolf M, Peeters M, et al, Wild-Type KRAS is Required for Panitumumab Efficacy in Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer, Journal of Clinical Oncology, Vol 26, No 10, 04/08.
4. Anandappa G, Lampis A, et al. miR-31-3p Expression and Benefit from Anti-EGFR Inhibitors in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Patients Enrolled in the Prospective Phase II PROSPECT-C Trial. Clin Cancer Res. 2019 Jul 1;25(13):3830-3838. PMID:30952636.
5. Auner V, Kriegshauser G, et al, KRAS Mutation Analysis in Ovarian Samples Using a High Sensitivity Biochip Assay, BMC Cancer April 2009.
6. Baker JB, Dutta D, et al, Tumour Gene Expression Predicts Response to Cetuxmab in Patients with KRAS Wild-Type Metastatic Colorectal Cancer, British Journal of Cancer (2011) 104, 488-495.
7. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. 2.04.53 Somatic Biomarker Testing (Including Liquid Biopsy) for Targeted Treatment in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, RET, and HER2), 01/26.
8. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association TEC Assessment, KRAS Mutations and Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Inhibitor Therapy in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer, 2008.
9. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) (90.2); accessed at cms.gov.
10. Clark JW. Systemic therapy for nonoperable metastatic colorectal cancer: Selecting the initial therapeutic approach. In: UpToDate, Goldberg RM, Savarese DM (Eds), UpToDate, Waltham, MA; accessed at uptodate.com.
11. Evaluation of Genomic Applications in P, Prevention Working G. Recommendations from the EGAPP Working Group: can testing of tumor tissue for mutations in EGFR pathway downstream effector genes in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer improve health outcomes by guiding decisions regarding anti-EGFR therapy? Genet Med. Jul 2013;15(7):517-527. Accessed at egappreviews.org.
12. First Coast Service Options, Inc. (FCSO). Local Coverage Article Billing and Coding: Molecular Pathology and Genetic Testing A58918; accessed at fcso.com.
13. Garcia-Foncillas J, Tabernero J, et al. Prospective multicenter real-world RAS mutation comparison between OncoBEAM-based liquid biopsy and tissue analysis in metastatic colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer. 2018 Dec;119(12):1464-1470.
14. Gupta R, Othman T, et al. Guardant360 Circulating Tumor DNA Assay Is Concordant with FoundationOne Next-Generation Sequencing in Detecting Actionable Driver Mutations in Anti-EGFR I Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. Oncologist. 2020 Mar;25(3):235-243. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0441.
15. Junca A, Tachon G, et al. Detection of Colorectal Cancer and Advanced Adenoma by Liquid Biopsy (Decalib Study): The ddPCR Challenge.Cancers (Basel). 2020 Jun 6;12(6):1482.PMID:32517177.
16. Karapetis CS, Khambata-Ford S, Jonker DJ, et al, K-ras Mutations and Benefit from Cetuximab in Advanced Colorectal Cancer, The New England Journal of Medicine, Vol 359; 1757-1762, 10/08.
17. Khambata-Ford S, Harbison CT, et al, Analysis of Potential Predictive Markers of Cetuximab Benefit in BMS099, a Phase III Study of Cetuximab and First-Line Taxane/Carboplatin in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer, Journal of Clinical Oncology, Vol 28, No 6, February 2010: pp. 918-927.

18. Klein-Scory S, Baraniskin A, et al. Evaluation of circulating tumor DNA as a prognostic and predictive biomarker in BRAF V600E mutated colorectal cancer-results from the FIRE-4.5 study. Mol Oncol. 2025 Feb;19(2):344-356.
19. Laurent-Puig P, Grisoni ML, et al. Validation of miR-31-3p Expression to Predict Cetuximab Efficacy When Used as First-Line Treatment in RAS Wild-Type Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. Clinical Trial Clin Cancer Res. 2019 Jan 1;25(1):134-141. PMID:30108104.
20. Li G, Pavlick D, Chung JH, et al. Genomic profiling of cell-free circulating tumor DNA in patients with colorectal cancer and its fidelity to the genomics of the tumor biopsy. J Gastrointest Oncol 2019; accessed at jgo.amegroups.com.
21. Lievre A, Bachet JB, Boige V, et al, KRAS Mutations as an Independent Prognostic Factor in Patients with Advanced Colorectal Cancer Treated with Cetuximab, Journal of Clinical Oncology, Vol 26, No 3, 01/08.
22. Mack PC, Holland WS, Redman M, et al, KRAS Mutation Analysis in Cetuximab-Treated Advanced Stage Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC): SWOG Experience with S0342 and S0536, American Society of Clinical Oncology 2009 Annual Meeting.
23. Nagasaka M, Brazel D, et al. Pan-tumor survey of RET fusions as detected by next-generation RNA sequencing identified RET fusion positive colorectal carcinoma as a unique molecular subset. Transl Oncol. 2023 Oct:36:101744. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2023.
24. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology-Colon Cancer; accessed at nccn.org.
25. Osumi H, Shinozaki E, et al. linical utility of circulating tumor DNA for colorectal cancer. Cancer Sci. 2019 Apr; 110(4): 1148–1155.
26. Patelli G, Vaghi C, et al. Liquid Biopsy for Prognosis and Treatment in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Circulating Tumor Cells vs Circulating Tumor DNA. Target Oncol. 2021 May;16(3):309-324.
27. Pugh S, Thiebaut R, et al. Association between miR-31-3p expression and cetuximab efficacy in patients with KRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer: a post-hoc analysis of the New EPOC trial. Oncotarget. 2017 Sep 27;8(55):93856-93866. PMID:29212194.
28. Raghav KPS, Guthrie KA, et al. Trastuzumab Plus Pertuzumab Versus Cetuximab Plus Irinotecan in Patients With RAS/BRAF Wild-Type, HER2-Positive, Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (S1613): A Randomized Phase II Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2025 Apr 10;43(11):1348-1357. PMID:39761503.
29. Reichert ZR, Morgan TM, et al. Prognostic value of plasma circulating tumor DNA fraction across four common cancer types: a real-world outcomes study. Ann Oncol. 2023 Jan;34(1):111-120. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.09.
30. Schmiegel W, Scott RJ, et al. Blood-based detection of RAS mutations to guide anti-EGFR therapy in colorectal cancer patients: concordance of results from circulating tumor DNA and tissue-based RAS testing. Mol Oncol. 2017 Feb;11(2):208-219.
31. Sepulveda AR, Hamilton SR, Allegra CJ, et al. Molecular Biomarkers for the Evaluation of Colorectal Cancer: Guideline From the American Society for Clinical Pathology, College of American Pathologists, Association for Molecular Pathology, and American Society of Clinical Oncology. J Mol Diagn. Mar 2017;19(2):187-225.
32. Siena S, Di Bartolomeo M, et al. Trastuzumab deruxtecan (DS-8201) in patients with HER2-expressing metastatic colorectal cancer (DESTINY-CRC01): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2021 Jun;22(6):779-789. PMID: 33961795.
33. Singh H, Kang A, et al. Systematic literature review and meta-analysis of HER2 amplification, overexpression, and positivity in colorectal cancer. JNCI Cancer Spectr. 2024 Jan 4;8(1):pkad082.
34. Stintzing S, Klein-Scory S, et al. Baseline Liquid Biopsy in Relation to Tissue-Based Parameters in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Results From the Randomized FIRE-4 (AIO-KRK-0114) Study. J Clin Oncol. 2025 Apr 20;43(12):1463-1473. PMID: 39903881.

35. Stoehlmacher J, Mogck U, Jakob C, et al, KRAS Mutations, EGFR Polymorphisms, and Polymorphisms of Immunoglobulin Fragment C Receptor as Predictors for Response to Cetuximab Containing Chemotherapy in Colorectal Cancer Patients; Identification of New KRAS Mutation in Codon 12, American Society of Clinical Oncology 2008 Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium.
36. Strickler JH, Cercek A, et al. Tucatinib plus trastuzumab for chemotherapy-refractory, HER2-positive, RAS wild-type unresectable or metastatic colorectal cancer (MOUNTAINEER): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol. 2023 May;24(5):496-508. PMID: 37142372.
37. Strickler JH, Yoshino T, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of ERBB2-Positive Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Review. JAMA Oncol. 2022 May 1;8(5):760-769. PMID: 35238866.
38. Sur D, Cainap C, et al. The role of miRNA -31-3p and miR-31-5p in the anti-EGFR treatment efficacy of wild-type K-RAS metastatic colorectal cancer. Is it really the next best thing in miRNAs? J BUON. Sep-Oct 2019;24(5):1739-1746.
39. Tabernero J, Cervantes A, Rivera F, et al, Pharmacogenomic and Pharmacoproteomic Studies of Cetuximab in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Biomarker Analysis of a Phase I Dose-Escalation Study, Journal of Clinical Oncology, Vol 28, No 7, March 2010: pp. 1181-1189.
40. Takayama Y, Suzuki K, et al. Monitoring circulating tumor DNA revealed dynamic changes in KRAS status in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Oncotarget. 2018 May 11; 9(36): 24398–24413.
41. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA); accessed at fda.gov.
42. Vafaei S, Fattahi F, et al. Common molecular markers between circulating tumor cells and blood exosomes in colorectal cancer:a systematic and analytical review. Cancer Manag Res. 2019 Sep 25;11:8669-8698. PMID:31576171.
43. Vafaei S, Roudi R, et al. Potential theranostics of circulating tumor cells and tumor-derived exosomes application in colorectal cancer. Cancer Cell Int. 2020 Jul 6;20:288.
44. Vidal J, Muinelo L, et al. Plasma ctDNA RAS mutation analysis for the diagnosis and treatment monitoring of metastatic colorectal cancer patients. Ann Oncol. 2017 Jun 1;28(6):1325-1332.
45. Vitiello PP, De Falco V, et al. Clinical Practice Use of Liquid Biopsy to Identify RAS/BRAF Mutations in Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (mCRC): A Single Institution Experience. Cancers (Basel).2019 Oct 8;11(10):1504.
46. Yap SA, Munster-Wandowski A, et al. Analysis of cancer-related mutations in extracellular vesicles RNA by Droplet Digital™ PCR. Biotechniques. 2020 Jun 25. doi: 10.2144/btn-2020-0028. Online ahead of print. PMID: 32580578.

COMMITTEE APPROVAL:

This Medical Coverage Guideline (MCG) was approved by the Florida Blue Medical Policy and Coverage Committee on 09/24/26.

GUIDELINE UPDATE INFORMATION:

02/15/09	New Medical Coverage Guideline.
10/01/09	HCPCS Quarterly Update: added new code S3713.
12/15/09	Annual review: position statements maintained description section and references updated.
07/15/10	Annual review: position statements maintained and references updated.
06/15/11	Annual review: position statements maintained and references updated.
01/01/12	Annual HCPCS update. Added CPT code 81275.
04/01/12	Quarterly HCPCS update. Deleted code S3713. Annual review; position statements maintained and references updated.
04/15/13	Annual review; position statements maintained, references updated; formatting changes.

04/15/14	Annual review; Medicare program exception, and references updated.
01/01/16	Annual HCPCS/CPT update; code 81276 added, code 81275 revised.
07/15/16	Revision; guideline title, description, position statement, coding, and references updated; formatting changes.
10/01/16	Revision; formatting changes.
08/15/17	Review; BRAF position statement and references updated.
06/15/18	Review; description, position statements, and references updated.
09/15/19	Review; Circulating tumor DNA/liquid biopsy investigational statement added; policy title, description section and references updated.
09/15/20	Review; Position statement, coding, and references updated.
09/21/20	Revision; List of test examples updated.
10/15/21	Review: Position statements maintained; coding, test names, and references updated.
07/01/22	Quarterly CPT/HCPCS Update.
06/15/23	Revision: Note added to the position statement section.
09/15/23	Review: Position statements, policy title, and references updated.
01/01/24	Program exception and references updated.
10/15/24	Review: Position statements, title, description, coding, and references updated.
10/15/25	Annual review: Position statements, description, title, coding and references updated.
10/15/26	Annual review: Position statements maintained; references updated.